
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6776

State of Washington 60th Legislature 2008 Regular Session

By Senate Government Operations & Elections (originally sponsored by Senators Kline, Roach, Fraser, Fairley, and Swecker)

READ FIRST TIME 02/08/08.

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 AN ACT Relating to state employee whistleblower protection; 2 amending RCW 42.40.020, 42.40.030, 42.40.040, 42.40.070, 42.40.050, and
- 3 42.40.910; reenacting and amending RCW 49.60.230 and 49.60.250;
- 4 creating a new section; and prescribing penalties.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 The legislature finds and declares that NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. 7 government exists to conduct the people's business, and the people 8 remaining informed about the actions of government contributes to the 9 oversight of how the people's business is conducted. The legislature further finds that many public servants who expose actions of their 10 government that are contrary to the law or public interest face the 11 12 potential loss of their careers and livelihoods.
 - It is the policy of the legislature that employees should be encouraged to disclose, to the extent not expressly prohibited by law, improper governmental actions, and it is the intent of the legislature to protect the rights of state employees making these disclosures. It is also the policy of the legislature that employees should be encouraged to identify rules warranting review or provide information

p. 1 ESSB 6776

- to the rules review committee, and it is the intent of the legislature to protect the rights of these employees.
- This act shall be broadly construed in order to effectuate the purpose of this act.
- 5 **Sec. 2.** RCW 42.40.020 and 1999 c 361 s 1 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

As used in this chapter, the terms defined in this section shall have the meanings indicated unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

- (1) "Abuse of authority" means an arbitrary or capricious exercise of, or willful failure to exercise, power that adversely affects the rights of any person or that results in personal gain or advantage to himself, herself, or preferred other persons.
 - (2) "Auditor" means the office of the state auditor.

10

11 12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

32

33

- 15 $((\frac{2}{1}))$ (3) "Employee" means any individual employed or holding office in any department or agency of state government.
 - $((\frac{3}{3}))$ (4) "Good faith" means the individual providing the information or report of improper governmental activity has a reporting or providing basis fact reasonable in for the ((communication)) information. (("Good faith" is lacking when the employee knows or reasonably ought to know that the report is malicious, false, or frivolous.)) An individual who knowingly provides or reports malicious, false, or frivolous information, or information that is provided with reckless disregard for the truth, or who knowingly omits relevant information is not acting in good faith.
 - ((4))) (5) "Gross mismanagement" means the arbitrary or capricious exercise of management responsibilities in a manner grossly deviating from the standard of care or competence that a reasonable person would observe in the same situation.
 - (6) "Gross waste of funds" means to spend or use funds or to allow funds to be used without valuable result in a manner grossly deviating from the standard of care or competence that a reasonable person would observe in the same situation.
- $((\frac{5}{}))$ $(\frac{7}{})$ (a) "Improper governmental action" means any action by an employee undertaken in the performance of the employee's official duties:

- 1 (i) Which is $((\frac{\{a\}}{a}))$ <u>a</u> gross waste of public funds or resources as defined in this section;
 - (ii) Which is in violation of federal or state law or rule, if the violation is not merely technical or of a minimum nature; $((\frac{\partial r}{\partial r}))$
- 5 (iii) Which is of substantial and specific danger to the public 6 health or safety:
 - (iv) Which is gross mismanagement;
- 8 (v) Which is an abuse of authority; or

3

7

24

2526

27

28

29

30

3132

33

- (vi) Which prevents the dissemination of scientific opinion or 9 alters technical findings without scientifically valid justification, 10 11 unless state law or a common law privilege prohibits disclosure. This 12 provision is not meant to preclude the discretion of agency management 13 to adopt a particular scientific opinion or technical finding from among differing opinions or technical findings to the exclusion of 14 other scientific opinions or technical findings. Nothing in this 15 subsection prevents or impairs a state agency's or public official's 16 ability to manage its public resources or its employees in the 17 performance of their official job duties. This subsection does not 18 apply to de minimis, technical disagreements that are not relevant for 19 otherwise improper governmental activity. Nothing in this provision 20 21 requires the auditor to contract or consult with external experts regarding the scientific validity, invalidity, or justification of a 22 23 finding or opinion.
 - (b) "Improper governmental action" does not include personnel actions, for which other remedies exist, including but not limited to employee grievances, complaints, appointments, promotions, transfers, assignments, reassignments, reinstatements, restorations, reemployments, performance evaluations, reductions in pay, dismissals, suspensions, demotions, violations of the state civil service law, alleged labor agreement violations, reprimands, claims of discriminatory treatment, or any action which may be taken under chapter 41.06 RCW, or other disciplinary action except as provided in RCW 42.40.030.
- (((6))) (8) "Public official" means the attorney general or his or her designee or designees; the director, or equivalent thereof in the agency where the employee works; an appropriate number of individuals designated to receive whistleblower reports by the head of each agency; or the executive ethics board.

p. 3 ESSB 6776

(9) "Substantial and specific danger" means a risk of serious injury, illness, peril, or loss, to which the exposure of the public is a gross deviation from the standard of care or competence which a reasonable person would observe in the same situation.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

29

30

3132

3334

37

- (((7))) (10) "Use of official authority or influence" includes threatening, taking, directing others to take, recommending, processing, or approving any personnel action such as an appointment, promotion, transfer, assignment including but not limited to duties and office location, reassignment, reinstatement, restoration, reemployment, performance evaluation, determining any material changes in pay, provision of training or benefits, tolerance of a hostile work environment, or any adverse action under chapter 41.06 RCW, or other disciplinary action.
- (((8))) (11) "Whistleblower" means an employee who in good faith reports, or is perceived by the employer as reporting, whether they did or not, alleged improper governmental action to the auditor or other public official, as defined in subsection (8) of this section, initiating an investigation under RCW 42.40.040. For purposes of the provisions of this chapter and chapter 49.60 RCW relating to reprisals and retaliatory action, the term "whistleblower" also means: employee who in good faith provides information to the auditor or other public official, as defined in subsection (8) of this section, in connection with an investigation under RCW 42.40.040 and an employee who is believed to have reported asserted improper governmental action to the auditor or other public official, as defined in subsection (8) of this section, or to have provided information to the auditor or other public official, as defined in subsection (8) of this section, in connection with an investigation under RCW 42.40.040 but who, in fact, has not reported such action or provided such information; or (b) an employee who in good faith identifies rules warranting review or provides information to the rules review committee, and an employee who is believed to have identified rules warranting review or provided information to the rules review committee but who, in fact, has not done so.
- 35 **Sec. 3.** RCW 42.40.030 and 1995 c 403 s 510 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) An employee shall not directly or indirectly use or attempt to

use the employee's official authority or influence for the purpose of intimidating, threatening, coercing, commanding, influencing, or attempting to intimidate, threaten, coerce, command, or influence any individual for the purpose of interfering with the right of the individual to: (a) Disclose to the auditor (or representative thereof) or other public official, as defined in RCW 42.40.020, information concerning improper governmental action; or (b) identify rules warranting review or provide information to the rules review committee.

1 2

- (2) Nothing in this section authorizes an individual to disclose information otherwise prohibited by law, except to the extent that information is necessary to substantiate the whistleblower complaint, in which case information may be disclosed to the auditor or public official, as defined in RCW 42.40.020, by the whistleblower for the limited purpose of providing information related to the complaint. Any information provided to the auditor or public official under the authority of this subsection may not be further disclosed.
 - Sec. 4. RCW 42.40.040 and 1999 c 361 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1)(a) In order to be investigated, an assertion of improper governmental action must be provided to the auditor or other public official within one year after the occurrence of the asserted improper governmental action. The public official, as defined in RCW 42.40.020, receiving an assertion of improper governmental action must report the assertion to the auditor within fifteen calendar days of receipt of the assertion. The auditor retains sole authority to investigate an assertion of improper governmental action including those made to a public official. A failure of the public official to report the assertion to the auditor within fifteen days does not impair the rights of the whistleblower.
 - (b) Except as provided under RCW 42.40.910 for legislative and judicial branches of government, the auditor has the authority to determine whether to investigate any assertions received. In determining whether to conduct either a preliminary or further investigation, the auditor shall consider factors including, but not limited to: The nature and quality of evidence and the existence of relevant laws and rules; whether the action was isolated or systematic; the history of previous assertions regarding the same subject or

p. 5 ESSB 6776

- subjects or subject matter; whether other avenues are available for addressing the matter; whether the matter has already been investigated or is in litigation; the seriousness or significance of the asserted improper governmental action; and the cost and benefit of the investigation. The auditor has the sole discretion to determine the priority and weight given to these and other relevant factors and to decide whether a matter is to be investigated. The auditor shall document the factors considered and the analysis applied.
 - (c) The auditor also has the authority to investigate assertions of improper governmental actions as part of an audit conducted under chapter 43.09 RCW. The auditor shall document the reasons for handling the matter as part of such an audit.
 - (d) Except as provided under RCW 42.40.910, the auditor also has the authority to investigate, within available resources, reports of improper governmental activities made by whistleblowers to any public official pursuant to RCW 42.40.050.
 - (2) Subject to subsection (5)(c) of this section, the identity or identifying characteristics of a whistleblower is confidential at all times unless the whistleblower consents to disclosure by written waiver or by acknowledging his or her identity in a claim against the state for retaliation. In addition, the identity or identifying characteristics of any person who in good faith provides information in an investigation under this section is confidential at all times, unless the person consents to disclosure by written waiver or by acknowledging his or her identity as a witness who provides information in an investigation.
 - (3) Upon receiving specific information that an employee has engaged in improper governmental action, the auditor shall, within ((five)) fifteen working days of receipt of the information, mail written acknowledgement to the whistleblower at the address provided stating whether a preliminary investigation will be conducted. For a period not to exceed ((thirty)) sixty working days from receipt of the assertion, the auditor shall conduct such preliminary investigation of the matter as the auditor deems appropriate.
- 35 (4) In addition to the authority under subsection (3) of this 36 section, the auditor may, on its own initiative, investigate incidents 37 of improper state governmental action.

ESSB 6776 p. 6

(5)(a) If it appears to the auditor, upon completion of the preliminary investigation, that the matter is so unsubstantiated that no further investigation, prosecution, or administrative action is warranted, the auditor shall so notify the whistleblower <u>summarizing</u> where the allegations are deficient, and provide a reasonable opportunity to reply. Such notification may be by electronic means.

- (b) The written notification shall contain a summary of the information received and of the results of the preliminary investigation with regard to each assertion of improper governmental action.
- (c) In any case to which this section applies, the identity <u>or identifying characteristics</u> of the whistleblower shall be kept confidential unless the auditor determines that the information has been provided other than in good faith. <u>If the auditor makes such a determination</u>, the auditor shall provide reasonable advance notice to the employee.
- (d) With the agency's consent, the auditor may forward the assertions to an appropriate agency to investigate and report back to the auditor no later than sixty working days after the assertions are received from the auditor. The auditor is entitled to all investigative records resulting from such a referral. All procedural and confidentiality provisions of this chapter apply to investigations conducted under this subsection. The auditor shall document the reasons the assertions were referred.
- (6) During the preliminary investigation, the auditor shall provide written notification of the nature of the assertions to the subject or subjects of the investigation and the agency head. The notification shall include the relevant facts and laws known at the time and the procedure for the subject or subjects of the investigation and the agency head to respond to the assertions and information obtained during the investigation. This notification does not limit the auditor from considering additional facts or laws which become known during further investigation.
- $((\frac{1}{2}))(a)$ If it appears to the auditor after completion of the preliminary investigation that further investigation, prosecution, or administrative action is warranted, the auditor shall so notify the whistleblower, the subject or subjects of the investigation, and the

p. 7 ESSB 6776

agency head and either conduct a further investigation or issue a report under subsection (((10))) of this section.

1 2

- (b) If the preliminary investigation resulted from an anonymous assertion, a decision to conduct further investigation shall be subject to review by a three-person panel convened as necessary by the auditor prior to the commencement of any additional investigation. The panel shall include a state auditor representative knowledgeable of the subject agency operations, a citizen volunteer, and a representative of the attorney general's office. This group shall be briefed on the preliminary investigation and shall recommend whether the auditor should proceed with further investigation.
- (c) If further investigation is to occur, the auditor shall provide written notification of the nature of the assertions to the subject or subjects of the investigation and the agency head. The notification shall include the relevant facts known at the time and the procedure to be used by the subject or subjects of the investigation and the agency head to respond to the assertions and information obtained during the investigation.
- $((\ensuremath{\langle 8 \rangle}))$ (7) Within sixty working days after the preliminary investigation period in subsection (3) of this section, the auditor shall complete the investigation and report its findings to the whistleblower unless written justification for the delay is furnished to the whistleblower, agency head, and subject or subjects of the investigation. In all such cases, the report of the auditor's investigation and findings shall be sent to the whistleblower within one year after the information was filed under subsection (3) of this section.
- ((+9+)) (8)(a) At any stage of an investigation under this section the auditor may require by subpoena the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of documentary or other evidence relating to the investigation at any designated place in the state. The auditor may issue subpoenas, administer oaths, examine witnesses, and receive evidence. In the case of contumacy or failure to obey a subpoena, the superior court for the county in which the person to whom the subpoena is addressed resides or is served may issue an order requiring the person to appear at any designated place to testify or to produce documentary or other evidence. Any failure to obey the order of the court may be punished by the court as a contempt thereof.

(b) The auditor may order the taking of depositions at any stage of a proceeding or investigation under this chapter. Depositions shall be taken before an individual designated by the auditor and having the power to administer oaths. Testimony shall be reduced to writing by or under the direction of the individual taking the deposition and shall be subscribed by the deponent.

- (c) Agencies shall cooperate fully in the investigation and shall take appropriate action to preclude the destruction of any evidence during the course of the investigation.
- (d) During the investigation the auditor shall interview each subject of the investigation. If it is determined there is reasonable cause to believe improper governmental action has occurred, the subject or subjects and the agency head shall be given fifteen working days to respond to the assertions prior to the issuance of the final report.
- (((10))) (9)(a) If the auditor determines there is reasonable cause to believe an employee has engaged in improper governmental action, the auditor shall report, to the extent allowable under existing public disclosure laws, the nature and details of the activity to:
- (i) The subject or subjects of the investigation and the head of the employing agency; ((and))
- (ii) If appropriate, the attorney general or such other authority as the auditor determines appropriate;
- 23 <u>(iii) Electronically to the governor, secretary of the senate, and</u> 24 <u>chief clerk of the house of representatives; and</u>
 - (iv) Except for information whose release is specifically prohibited by statute or executive order, the public through the public file of whistleblower reports maintained by the auditor.
 - (b) The auditor has no enforcement power except that in any case in which the auditor submits an investigative report containing reasonable cause determinations to the agency, the agency shall send its plan for resolution to the auditor within fifteen working days of having received the report. The agency is encouraged to consult with the subject or subjects of the investigation in establishing the resolution plan. The auditor may require periodic reports of agency action until all resolution has occurred. If the auditor determines that appropriate action has not been taken, the auditor shall report the determination to the governor and to the legislature and may include this determination in the agency audit under chapter 43.09 RCW.

p. 9 ESSB 6776

 $((\frac{11}{11}))$ (10) Once the auditor concludes that appropriate action has been taken to resolve the matter, the auditor shall so notify the whistleblower, the agency head, and the subject or subjects of the investigation. If the resolution takes more than one year, the auditor shall provide annual notification of its status to the whistleblower, agency head, and subject or subjects of the investigation.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

- ((\(\frac{12}{12}\))) (11) Failure to cooperate with such audit or investigation, or retaliation against anyone who assists the auditor by engaging in activity protected by this chapter shall be reported as a separate finding with recommendations for corrective action in the associated report whenever it occurs.
- 12 <u>(12)</u> This section does not limit any authority conferred upon the 13 attorney general or any other agency of government to investigate any 14 matter.
- 15 **Sec. 5.** RCW 42.40.070 and 1989 c 284 s 5 are each amended to read 16 as follows:
- 17 A written summary of this chapter and procedures for reporting improper governmental actions established by the auditor's office shall 18 19 be made available by each department or agency of state government to 20 each employee upon entering public employment. Such notices may be in agency internal newsletters, included with paychecks or stubs, sent via 21 electronic mail to all employees, or sent by other means that are 22 cost-effective and reach all employees of the government level, 23 division, or subdivision. 24 Employees shall be notified by each department or agency of state government each year of the procedures 25 26 and protections under this chapter.
- 27 **Sec. 6.** RCW 42.40.050 and 1999 c 283 s 1 are each amended to read 28 as follows:
- (1)(a) Any person who is a whistleblower, as defined in RCW 42.40.020, and who has been subjected to workplace reprisal or retaliatory action is presumed to have established a cause of action for the remedies provided under chapter 49.60 RCW.
- 33 <u>(b)</u> For the purpose of this section, "reprisal or retaliatory action" means, but is not limited to, any of the following:
- $((\frac{a}{a}))$ Denial of adequate staff to perform duties;
- 36 (((b))) <u>(ii)</u> Frequent staff changes;

```
((<del>(c)</del>)) <u>(iii)</u> Frequent and undesirable office changes;
 1
 2
           ((<del>(d)</del>)) <u>(iv)</u> Refusal to assign meaningful work;
           ((<del>(e)</del>)) (v) Unwarranted and unsubstantiated letters of reprimand or
 3
 4
      unsatisfactory performance evaluations;
           ((\frac{f})) <u>(vi)</u> Demotion;
 5
           ((\frac{g})) <u>(vii)</u> Reduction in pay;
 6
 7
           ((<del>(h)</del>)) (viii) Denial of promotion;
           ((\frac{(i)}{(i)})) (ix) Suspension;
 8
 9
           ((\frac{(j)}{(j)})) (x) Dismissal;
10
           ((\frac{k}{k})) (xi) Denial of employment;
           ((<del>(1)</del>)) <u>(xii)</u> A supervisor or superior <u>behaving in or</u> encouraging
11
12
      coworkers to behave in a hostile manner toward the whistleblower; ((and
```

- (m))) (xiii) A change in the physical location of the employee's workplace or a change in the basic nature of the employee's job, if either are in opposition to the employee's expressed wish;
- (xiv) Issuance of or attempt to enforce any nondisclosure policy or agreement in a manner inconsistent with prior practice; or
 - (xv) Any other action that is inconsistent compared to actions taken before the employee engaged in conduct protected by this chapter, or compared to other employees who have not engaged in conduct protected by this chapter.
 - (2) The agency presumed to have taken retaliatory action under subsection (1) of this section may rebut that presumption by proving by a preponderance of the evidence showing that there have been a series of documented personnel problems or a single, egregious event, or that the agency action or actions were justified by reasons unrelated to the employee's status as a whistleblower and by showing that improper motive was not a substantial factor.
 - (3) Nothing in this section prohibits an agency from making any decision exercising its authority to terminate, suspend, or discipline an employee who engages in workplace reprisal or retaliatory action against a whistleblower. However, the agency also shall implement any order under chapter 49.60 RCW (other than an order of suspension if the agency has terminated the retaliator).
- **Sec. 7.** RCW 49.60.230 and 1993 c 510 s 21 and 1993 c 69 s 11 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Who may file a complaint:

p. 11 ESSB 6776

(a) Any person claiming to be aggrieved by an alleged unfair practice may, personally or by his or her attorney, make, sign, and file with the commission a complaint in writing under oath or by declaration. The complaint shall state the name of the person alleged to have committed the unfair practice and the particulars thereof, and contain such other information as may be required by the commission.

- (b) Whenever it has reason to believe that any person has been engaged or is engaging in an unfair practice, the commission may issue a complaint.
- (c) Any employer or principal whose employees, or agents, or any of them, refuse or threaten to refuse to comply with the provisions of this chapter may file with the commission a written complaint under oath or by declaration asking for assistance by conciliation or other remedial action.
- (2) Any complaint filed pursuant to this section must be so filed within six months after the alleged act of discrimination except that complaints alleging an unfair practice in a real estate transaction pursuant to RCW 49.60.222 through 49.60.225 must be so filed within one year after the alleged unfair practice in a real estate transaction has occurred or terminated <u>and a complaint alleging whistleblower</u> retaliation must be filed within two years.
- (3) On or before the third Monday in January of each year, the commission shall report to the governor and the legislature the number of retaliation reports it has received in the past year, the number of such reports that were substantiated, and the number of such cases still under consideration as well as how long each unresolved case has been under consideration. This information shall also be posted for public review on the agency web site.
- **Sec. 8.** RCW 49.60.250 and 1993 c 510 s 23 and 1993 c 69 s 14 are 30 each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
 - (1) In case of failure to reach an agreement for the elimination of such unfair practice, and upon the entry of findings to that effect, the entire file, including the complaint and any and all findings made, shall be certified to the chairperson of the commission. The chairperson of the commission shall thereupon request the appointment of an administrative law judge under Title 34 RCW to hear the complaint and shall cause to be issued and served in the name of the commission

a written notice, together with a copy of the complaint, as the same may have been amended, requiring the respondent to answer the charges of the complaint at a hearing before the administrative law judge, at a time and place to be specified in such notice.

- (2) The place of any such hearing may be the office of the commission or another place designated by it. The case in support of the complaint shall be presented at the hearing by counsel for the commission: PROVIDED, That the complainant may retain independent counsel and submit testimony and be fully heard. No member or employee of the commission who previously made the investigation or caused the notice to be issued shall participate in the hearing except as a witness, nor shall the member or employee participate in the deliberations of the administrative law judge in such case. Any endeavors or negotiations for conciliation shall not be received in evidence.
- (3) The respondent shall file a written answer to the complaint and appear at the hearing in person or otherwise, with or without counsel, and submit testimony and be fully heard. The respondent has the right to cross-examine the complainant.
- (4) The administrative law judge conducting any hearing may permit reasonable amendment to any complaint or answer. Testimony taken at the hearing shall be under oath and recorded.
- (5) If, upon all the evidence, the administrative law judge finds that the respondent has engaged in any unfair practice, the administrative law judge shall state findings of fact and shall issue and file with the commission and cause to be served on such respondent an order requiring such respondent to cease and desist from such unfair practice and to take such affirmative action, including, (but not limited to) hiring, reinstatement or upgrading of employees, with or without back pay, an admission or restoration to full membership rights in any respondent organization, or to take such other action as, in the judgment of the administrative law judge, will effectuate the purposes of this chapter, including action that could be ordered by a court, except that damages for humiliation and mental suffering shall not exceed ((ten)) twenty thousand dollars, and including a requirement for report of the matter on compliance. Relief available for violations of RCW 49.60.222 through 49.60.224 shall be limited to the relief specified in RCW 49.60.225.

p. 13 ESSB 6776

(6) If a determination is made that retaliatory action, as defined in RCW 42.40.050, has been taken against a whistleblower, as defined in RCW 42.40.020, the administrative law judge may, in addition to any other remedy, require restoration of benefits, back pay, and any increases in compensation that would have occurred, with interest; impose a civil penalty upon the retaliator of up to ((three)) five thousand dollars; and issue an order to the state employer to suspend the retaliator for up to thirty days without pay. At a minimum, the administrative law judge shall require that a letter of reprimand be placed in the retaliator's personnel file. No agency shall issue any nondisclosure order or policy, execute any nondisclosure agreement, or spend any funds requiring information that is public under the public records act, chapter 42.56 RCW, be kept confidential; except that nothing in this section shall affect any state or federal law requiring information be kept confidential. All penalties recovered shall be paid into the state treasury and credited to the general fund.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2829

3031

32

33

3435

- (7) The final order of the administrative law judge shall include a notice to the parties of the right to obtain judicial review of the order by appeal in accordance with the provisions of RCW 34.05.510 through 34.05.598, and that such appeal must be served and filed within thirty days after the service of the order on the parties.
- (8) If, upon all the evidence, the administrative law judge finds that the respondent has not engaged in any alleged unfair practice, the administrative law judge shall state findings of fact and shall similarly issue and file an order dismissing the complaint.
- (9) An order dismissing a complaint may include an award of reasonable attorneys' fees in favor of the respondent if the administrative law judge concludes that the complaint was frivolous, unreasonable, or groundless.
- (10) The commission shall establish rules of practice to govern, expedite, and effectuate the foregoing procedure.
- (11) Instead of filing with the commission, a complainant may pursue arbitration conducted by the American arbitration association or another arbitrator mutually agreed by the parties, with the cost of arbitration shared equally by the complainant and the respondent.
- 36 **Sec. 9.** RCW 42.40.910 and 1999 c 361 s 7 are each amended to read 37 as follows:

This act and chapter 361, Laws of 1999 ((does)) do not affect the jurisdiction of the legislative ethics board, the executive ethics board, or the commission on judicial conduct, as set forth in chapter 42.52 RCW. The senate, the house of representatives, and the supreme court shall adopt policies regarding the applicability of chapter 42.40 RCW to the senate, house of representatives, and judicial branch.

--- END ---

p. 15 ESSB 6776